


Consultation Response Form

Your name	Mr B Jones
Your address	[REDACTED]
Preferred contact details (email/phone/post)	Post
<u>Organisation (if applicable)</u>	



1. NDF Outcomes (chapter 3)

The NDF has proposed 11 Outcomes as an ambition of where we want to be in 20 years' time.

- Overall, to what extent do you agree or disagree the 11 Outcomes are a realistic vision for the NDF?

Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- To what extent do you agree with the 11 Outcomes as ambitions for the NDF?

Agree with all of them	Agree with most of them	Agree with some of them	Agree with none of them	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- If you disagree with any of the 11 Outcomes, please tell us why:

RE given far too large an area in NDF – needs to be drastically reduced.

Huge wind and solar renewable energy developments are very obtrusive and prominent and will negatively impact on the landscape, so if they affect people, people should at least be allowed to have a valid view point (including objections) which are then considered when taking into account project proposals. Something that obtrusive, that that extent of land, should not be just “accepted”.

All projects over 10 megawatts will be decided by WAG – not a fair and democratic system.

Spatial Strategy (policies 1 - 4)

The NDF **spatial strategy** is a guiding framework for where large-scale change and nationally important developments will be focused over the next 20 years.

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the spatial strategy and key principles for development in...

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
Urban areas (Policies 1, 2 & 3)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rural areas (Policy 4)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- If you have any comments on the spatial strategy or key principles for development in urban and rural areas, please tell us:

RE given far too large an area in NDF – needs to be drastically reduced.

Huge wind and solar renewable energy developments are very obtrusive and prominent and will negatively impact on the landscape, so if they affect people, people should at least be allowed to have a valid view point (including objections) which are then considered when taking into account project proposals. Something that obtrusive, that that extent of land, should not be just “accepted”.

All projects over 10 megawatts will be decided by WAG – not a fair and democratic system.

7. Renewable Energy and District Heat Networks (policies 10-15)

- To what extent do you agree or disagree with the NDF's policies to lower carbon emissions in Wales using...

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	<i>Don't know</i>	<i>No opinion</i>
Large scale wind and solar developments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
District heat networks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- If you disagree with the NDF's approaches to green infrastructure, renewable energy or district heat networks, what alternative approaches should we consider to help Wales to enhance its biodiversity and transition to a low carbon economy?

RE given far too large an area in NDF – needs to be drastically reduced.

Huge wind and solar renewable energy developments are very obtrusive and prominent and will negatively impact on the landscape, so if they affect people, people should at least be allowed to have a valid view point (including objections) which are then considered when taking into account project proposals. Something that obtrusive, that that extent of land, should not be just “accepted”.

All projects over 10 megawatts will be decided by WAG – not a fair and democratic system.

15. Further comments

- Are there any further comments that you would like to make on the NDF, or any alternative proposals you feel we should consider?

There appears to be very little consideration for the views of the people living in Wales, as on one of the largest projects, the views about impact on landscape are not accepted as valid.

Plan appears to be more in line with the RE companies, rather than the residents of Wales.

Alternative Proposals: Significantly reduce the land for RE, and consider other options. At one time there was solar projects on roofs which encouraged everyone to create their own energy – could this be promoted again? This way, there is no need for expensive, extensive infrastructure and no need for extra windfarms to those we have currently.

